# DAVID PLUMMER \& ASSOCIATES <br> TRANSPORTATON - CIVL - STRUCTURAL ENVRONMENTAL 

May 29, 2019
Mr. German Hoyos
Project Manager
Fortune International Group
1300 Brickell Avenue
Miami, FL 33131
Phone: (305) 679-5881
E-mail: GHoyos@fortuneintlgroup.com

## RE: Old Cutler Road Site Trip Generation Analysis- \#14191

## Dear German,

David Plummer \& Associates prepared a traffic study for the Old Cutler Road Site project located on the southeast corner of Old Cutler Road and SW $184^{\text {th }}$ Street in the Town of Cutler Bay, FL (See Exhibit 1). The study was consistent with the methodology previously discussed with and approved by the Town of Cutler Bay and Miami-Dade County. The study was reviewed and the findings accepted by Cutler Bay and their traffic consultant. At the time, the project proposed 30 single family homes, and access consisted of a full access two-way driveway accessing Old Cutler Road south of SW $184^{\text {th }}$ Street, and a two-way right-in/right-out only driveway accessing SW $184^{\text {th }}$ Street east of Old Cutler Road. The applicant is re-submitting a request for approval with a revised plan proposing 29 single family dwelling units. Access will be limited to the full access two-way driveway accessing Old Cutler Road south of SW $184^{\text {th }}$ Street. The proposed site plan is included in Attachment $\boldsymbol{A}$. The purpose of this letter is to address the traffic impacts associated with the proposed changes in the site plan.

The analysis undertaken in the traffic study was performed for the following analysis scenarios:

- Existing year: based on traffic counts taken at study roadways and intersections adjusted to reflect peak hour conditions.
- Future Background Traffic - Project build-out year without project trips: A background growth rate was used for all roadway segments and intersections. In addition, traffic associated with the following approved committed developments was used:

Since 1978
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- Shops of Cutler Bay:

54,817 Square Feet Supermarket
18,800 Square Feet Specialty Retail
2,000 Square Feet High Turnover Restaurant
9,000 Square Feet (2) Drive-In Banks

- Mater Academy: 1,200 students; and,
- Palmer Trinity School: 1,150 students.
- Future Traffic - Project build-out year with project trips: Trips associated with the proposed 30 single family dwelling units was added to future traffic conditions without project to obtain total traffic.

The traffic study established trip generation for the original project using the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, $9^{\text {th }}$ Edition. This manual provides gross trip generation rates and/or equations by land use type. These rates and equations estimate vehicle trip ends at a free-standing site's driveways. The trip generation is summarized in Exhibit 2.

Exhibit 2
Original Project Trip Generation Summary

| ITE Land Use Designation ${ }^{1}$ | Size/Units | Daily <br> Vehicle Trips | AM Peak Hour Vehicle Trips |  |  | PM Peak Hour Vehicle Trips |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Single Family (Land Use 210) | 30 DU | 347 | 8 | 23 | 31 | 23 | 13 | 36 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{T}=0.70(\mathrm{x})+9.74$ |  |  | $\operatorname{Ln}(T)=0.90 \operatorname{Ln}(x)+0.51$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | 25\% In |  | 75\% Out | 63\% In |  | 37\% Out |
| Net External Trips |  | 347 | 8 | 23 | 31 | 23 | 13 | 36 |

Based on ITE Trin Generation Manual, Ninth Edition

Since the original study was submitted to and accepted by Cutler Bay, ITE has released Trip Generation Manual, $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition providing significantly expanded and enhanced data. Trip generation for the proposed 29 dwelling units was estimated using rates and/or equations published in ITE's Trip Generation Manual, $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition. Worksheets are also provided in Attachenment B. The trip generation is provided in Exhibit 3.

Exhibit 3
Proposed Project Trip Generation Summary

| Proposed ITE Land Use Designation ${ }^{1}$ | Size/Units | Daily Vehicle Trips | AM Peak Hour Vehicle |  |  | PM Peak Hour Vehicle |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Single Family (Land Use 210) | 29 DU | 333 | 6 | 19 | 25 | 20 | 11 | 31 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{T}=0.71(\mathrm{x})+4.80$ |  |  | $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=0.96 \mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{x})+0.20$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | 25\% In | 75\% Out |  | 63\% In | 37\% Out |  |
| Net External Trips |  | 333 | 6 | 19 | 25 | 20 | 11 | 31 |

${ }^{1}$ Based on ITE Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition

The results of the trip generation analysis indicate that the new proposed development represents a decrease in daily, am peak hour, and pm peak hour trips.

The elimination of the driveway accessing SW $184^{\text {th }}$ Street would impact the Old Cutler Road/SW $184^{\text {th }}$ Street intersections and the Old Cutler Road Driveway. The revised project trip distribution and assignment are graphically portrayed in Exhibit 4. Intersection capacity analysis was performed for these two intersections using Synchro. Worksheets are provided in Attachment C. The results are summarized in Exhibit 5.

## Exhibit 5

Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary

| Intersection | Trafic <br> Control | AM Peak <br> LOS | PM Peak <br> LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Old Cutler Road <br> /SW $184^{\text {th }}$ Street | Signal | C | D |
| Old Cutler Road <br> /Project Driveway | Signal | C | C |

Results of intersection analysis for future conditions with project show that the overall level of service for both intersections will continue to operate within the LOS standards adopted by the Town of Cutler Bay. maflice stucdy
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In conclusion, the revised development plan is projected to generate less daily, am peak hour and pm peak hour vehicle trips than the previous plan reflected in the traffic study. Furthermore, intersections will continue to operate at the same levels of service as projected and continue to meet adopted level of service standards. Therefore, the conclusions in the traffic study previously submitted to and approved by the Town of Cutler Bay are still valid for the revised plan.

We stand ready to provide any support needed for this project. Should you have any questions or comments, please call me at (305) 447-0900.


## ATTACHMENT A

## Site Plan



## ATTACHMENT B Trip Generation

## Single-Family Detached Housing (210)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units<br>On a: Weekday

Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban<br>Number of Studies: 159<br>Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 264<br>Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9.44 | $4.81-19.39$ | 2.10 |

Data Plot and Equation


Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition - Institute of Transportation Engineers

## Single-Family Detached Housing (210)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units<br>On a: Weekday,<br>Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.<br>Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban<br>Number of Studies: 173<br>Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 219<br>Directional Distribution: $25 \%$ entering, $75 \%$ exiting

## Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.74 | $0.33-2.27$ | 0.27 |

## Data Plot and Equation



Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition - Institute of Transportation Engineers

## Single-Family Detached Housing (210)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units<br>On a: Weekday,<br>Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.<br>Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban<br>Number of Studies: 190<br>Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 242<br>Directional Distribution: $63 \%$ entering, $37 \%$ exiting

## Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unìt

Average Rate
0.99

Range of Rates
0.44-2.98

Standard Deviation 0.31

Data Plot and Equation


Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition • Institute of Transportation Engineers

## Exhibit "L" (Page 13 of 17)

## ATTACHMENT C Synchro

HCM 2010 Signalized Intersection Summary

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | - | $\checkmark$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ | + | $\pm$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | F |  | 4 | 4 | FT | \% | \% |  | 4 | F |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 200 | 141 | 43 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 124 | 478 | 112 | 5 | 359 | 396 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 200 | 141 | 43 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 124 | 478 | 112 | 5 | 359 | 396 |
| Number | 3 | 8 | 18 | 7 | 4 | 14 | 1 | 6 | 16 | 5 | 2 | 12 |
| Initial $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{Qb})$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1863 | 1863 | 1900 | 1863 | 1863 | 1937 | 1863 | 1863 | 1900 | 1863 | 1863 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 225 | 158 | 48 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 139 | 537 | 126 | 6 | 403 | 445 |
| Adj No. of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h | 286 | 243 | 74 | 122 | 329 | 291 | 381 | 1082 | 254 | 509 | 581 | 642 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.01 | 0.72 | 0.72 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1407 | 1372 | 417 | 1171 | 1863 | 1647 | 1774 | 1454 | 341 | 1774 | 808 | 893 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 225 | 0 | 206 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 139 | 0 | 663 | 6 | 0 | 848 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/ln | 1407 | 0 | 1789 | 1171 | 1863 | 1647 | 1774 | 0 | 1796 | 1774 | 0 | 1701 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 28.3 | 0.0 | 19.3 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 27.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 50.2 |
| Cycle Q Clear (g_c), s | 28.6 | 0.0 | 19.3 | 20.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 27.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 50.2 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.23 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.19 | 1.00 |  | 0.52 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 286 | 0 | 316 | 122 | 329 | 291 | 381 | 0 | 1336 | 509 | 0 | 1223 |
| VIC Ratio(X) | 0.79 | 0.00 | 0.65 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.69 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 327 | 0 | 368 | 155 | 383 | 338 | 413 | 0 | 1336 | 585 | 0 | 1223 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 72.9 | 0.0 | 68.9 | 78.3 | 61.1 | 0.0 | 15.2 | 0.0 | 9.4 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 14.1 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 11.2 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3), s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(95\%),veh/ln | 17.5 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 19.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 32.5 |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 84.1 | 0.0 | 72.6 | 78.5 | 61.1 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 0.0 | 10.7 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 17.4 |
| LnGrp LOS | F |  | E | E | E |  | B |  | B | A |  | B |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 431 |  |  | 10 |  |  | 802 |  |  | 854 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 78.6 |  |  | 71.5 |  |  | 11.5 |  |  | 17.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | E |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Timer | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), $s$ | 8.7 | 134.5 |  | 36.8 | 4.3 | 138.9 |  | 36.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), s | 3.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 3.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 9.0 | 121.0 |  | 37.0 | 9.0 | 121.0 |  | 37.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_ct1), s | 5.6 | 52.2 |  | 22.1 | 2.2 | 29.0 |  | 30.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.1 | 2.7 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctri Delay |  |  | 27.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | * | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | W |  | \% | 4 | 7 | \% | F |  | 1 | \% |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 207 | 12 | 96 | 36 | 71 | 5 | 57 | 499 | 9 | , | 906 | 229 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 207 | 12 | 96 | 36 | 71 | 5 | 57 | 499 | 9 | 3 | 906 | 229 |
| Number | , | 8 | 18 | 7 | 4 | 14 | 1 | 6 | 16 | 5 | 2 | 12 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1863 | 1863 | 1900 | 1863 | 1863 | 1937 | 1863 | 1863 | 1900 | 1863 | 1863 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 220 | 13 | 102 | 38 | 76 | 0 | 61 | 531 | 10 | 3 | 964 | 244 |
| Adj No. of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h | 220 | 32 | 249 | 177 | 326 | 288 | 167 | 1377 | 26 | 605 | 1054 | 267 |
| Arive On Green | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.00 | 0.74 | 0.74 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1317 | 182 | 1425 | 1270 | 1863 | 1647 | 1774 | 1821 | 34 | 1774 | 1432 | 362 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 220 | 0 | 115 | 38 | 76 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 541 | 3 | 0 | 1208 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/n | 1317 | 0 | 1606 | 1270 | 1863 | 1647 | 1774 | 0 | 1856 | 1774 | 0 | 1795 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 28.0 | 0.0 | 12.7 | 5.5 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 20.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 108.8 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 35.0 | 0.0 | 12.7 | 18.2 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 20.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 108.8 |
| Prop in Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.89 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.02 | 1.00 |  | 0.20 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 220 | 0 | 281 | 177 | 326 | 288 | 167 | 0 | 1403 | 605 | 0 | 1321 |
| VIC Ratio( $X$ ) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.91 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 220 | 0 | 281 | 177 | 326 | 288 | 186 | 0 | 1403 | 660 | 0 | 1321 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Unitorm Delay (d), s/veh | 88.3 | 0.0 | 73.3 | 81.4 | 71.0 | 0.0 | 40.9 | 0.0 | 8.4 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 21.3 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 60.3 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.3 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(95\%),veh/ln | 22.4 | 0.0 | 9.7 | 3.5 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 15.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 69.9 |
| LnGrp Delay (d), s/veh | 148.6 | 0.0 | 74.5 | 82.1 | 71.4 | 0.0 | 41.4 | 0.0 | 9.2 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 32.7 |
| LnGrp LOS | F |  | E | F | E |  | D |  | A | A |  | C |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 335 |  |  | 114 |  |  | 602 |  |  | 1211 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 123.2 |  |  | 75.0 |  |  | 12.5 |  |  | 32.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | E |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Timer | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathcal{G}+Y+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s | 7.8 | 152.2 |  | 40.0 | 3.8 | 156.2 |  | 40.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $Y+R \mathrm{Rc}$ ), $s$ | 3.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 3.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 7.0 | 145.0 |  | 35.0 | 7.0 | 145.0 |  | 35.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time ( $g_{\text {_ }} \mathbf{c}+11$ ), $s$ | 3.6 | 110.8 |  | 20.2 | 2.1 | 22.1 |  | 37.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 | 4.8 |  | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.3 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay |  |  | 42.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  |  | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement |  | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | F | F |  |  | * |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 3 | 16 | 864 | 1 | 5 | 435 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 3 | 16 | 864 | 1 | 5 | 435 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, | \# 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mumt Flow | 3 | 17 | 939 | 1 | 5 | 473 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 | Major1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1423 | 940 | 0 | 0 | 940 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 940 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 483 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 150 | 320 | - | - | 729 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 380 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 620 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 149 | 320 | - | - | 729 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 149 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 377 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stage 2 | 620 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |


| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 18.9 | 0 | 0.1 |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRWBLn1WBLn2 | SBL | SBT |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | - | 149 | 320 | 729 |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | -0.022 | 0.054 | 0.007 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - | 29.7 | 16.9 | 10 |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | D | C | A |
| HCM | A |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0 |

30: Old Cuttler Road \& Project Driveway

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | 1 | r | p |  |  | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 2 | 9 | 455 | 3 | 17 | 919 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 2 | 9 | 455 | 3 | 17 | 919 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mumt Flow | 2 | 10 | 495 | 3 | 18 | 999 |



| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 15.7 | 0 | 0.2 |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRWBLn1WBLn2 | SBL | SBT |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | - | 123 | 573 | 1066 |

